## PUBLIC SUBMISSION Posted: November 12, 2010

**As of:** November 15, 2010 Received: November 05, 2010

Status: Posted

Tracking No. 80b831e4

Comments Due: November 08, 2010

Submission Type: Web

Docket: EPA-R03-OW-2010-0736

Draft Chesapeake Bay Total Maximum Daily Load

Comment On: EPA-R03-OW-2010-0736-0001

Clean Water Act Section 303(d): Notice for the Public Review of the Draft Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for

the Chesapeake Bay

**Document:** EPA-R03-OW-2010-0736-0597

Anonymous public comment

## **Submitter Information**

## **General Comment**

I believe it to be true and logical that a full flowing river would provide cleaner water than a river that has been depleted of its normal flow. Hydrofracking for natural gas is and will continue to extract many more millions of gallons reducing water flow that will in turn allow organic vegetative growth to establish only to be taken down to the Cheasapeak when fuller flow returns after rain storms etc. State and Federal regulators need to look at alternatives to so much river water use for hydrofracking eg requiring closed-loop systems etc.